

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,042	()2/26/2004	Pierre Lucien Cote	4320-556	2918
1059	7590	10/23/2006		EXAMINER	
BERESKIN 40 KING ST			MENON, KRISHNAN S		
BOX 401	IKEEI WI	201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2				1723	<u> </u>
CANADA				DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/786,042	COTE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Krishnan S. Menon	1723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	/						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Oc	etohor 2006	•					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>,</i> —						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	reparto quayro, roco ele. (1), re						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 20-37 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) 20-37 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37</u> is/are allowed.	Claim(s) 37 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20,21 and 23-36</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) 20,21 and 23-36 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) 22, is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	·.						
· ·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		, , ,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 IISC & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	O					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 20-37 are pending as amended in the RCE of 10/5/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 32 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

No support found for the limitation "bore passes through the shell" and "bore passing through the side wall". This requires a bore to pass through the shell and the sidewall. While there may be a bore in the shell and a bore in the sidewall, they do not form a continuous bore.

Similarly, a bore passing through the sidewalls in claim 36 also has no support.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The second inner end and the second resin injection duct have no antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 20,21,29-32,and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oscarsson (US 4,341,005).

Oscarsson teaches a header having a shell, a block of resin filling in the shell, the shell having hollow fibers potted with the resin, and a resin injection duct extending from the outer surface of the shell to the inside, the outlet of which is blocked by the resin after it is cured – see 23, figure 2 and column 2 line 67 – column 3 line 31. The duct has a bore through the sidewall. The recitation in the preamble, "... for water treatment module" is intended use.

2. Claims 20,21,29-31,33 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Juliar et al (US 5,395,468).

Juliar also teaches the header as claimed, with the injection duct at the base of the shell – see figure 11, header 104/106, injection port/ducts 108/110. The recitation in the preamble, "... for water treatment module" is intended use.

3. Claims 20,21,23-27and 29-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lowell (US 2002/0171172).

Lowell teaches a header (4) with potting compound, resin injections ducts (5,6, etc), plurality of protruding members (mandrel 2 and shell 1; two is plural), protruding members partially filled with resin, the resin injection ducts passing through the shell, the reference teaches injecting first and second potting compounds sequentially in claim 14, and different kinds of potting compounds. Since the 'cushioning material' is another potting compound that fills the shell, considering the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims, the reference anticipates the cushioning material. The second (or the outer) protruding member (shell 1) is partially filled with the cushioning material. See figures 1-4.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 37 is allowed.

Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1723

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20,21,23-27 and 29-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S. Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krishnan S Menon Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723